

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/810,907	03/26/2004	Robert M. Keolian	PST-11302/36	9429	
25006	7590 11/02/2005		EXAMINER		
GIFFORD, KRASS, GROH, SPRINKLE & CITKOWSKI, P.C			AGUIRRECHEA, JAYDI A		
PO BOX 70	- -		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TROY, MI	48007-7021		2834		
			DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			W/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	A -
	10/810,907	KEOLIAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jaydi A. Aguirrechea	2834	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addres	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 Air</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allower	action is non-final.	prodution as to the mo	rito io
closed in accordance with the practice under E			1115 15
Disposition of Claims	panto quajno, 1000 0121 11, 10		
4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 25-38 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5,19 and 40 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6-18 and 20-24 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exercisive under 35 U.S.C. § 119	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the tolerawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the tolerawing(s) is objected to	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority application from the International Bureau 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stag	je
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/04	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:)

Application/Control Number: 10/810,907 Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 25-38 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 8/18/05.

The traversal is on the ground(s) that claims 39 and 40 should be examined along with claims 1-25. The Examiner agrees to examine claim 40. However, claim 39 requires a second volume of gas and a spring, which are not required for the invention of group 1, therefore claim 39 is still restricted and not examined.

Specification

2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 11-12, "the resonating mass" lacks antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2834

5. Claims 1-5 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over De Blok (US 6314740) in view of Kolm et al. (US 4467236).

With regards to claims 1 and 40, De Blok discloses a thermoacoustic generator system comprising: a housing (figures 1-4) containing a working volume of gas with a pressure (p), a thermoacoustic core (1) supported in the housing and having a first heat exchanger (6) and a second heat exchanger (7), the thermoacoustic core operable to introduce acoustical power into the housing thereby oscillating the pressure of the gas at a frequency (column 2, summary of the invention). De Block also discloses an electromechanical generator (2, 3).

However, De Blok fails to disclose a piezoelectric alternator supported in the housing and having a face that is movable when acted on by acoustical power, the alternator further including a portion of piezoelectric material operable to produce electrical power when acted on by a stress, the portion of piezoelectric material being in mechanical communication with the movable face such that movement of the face stresses the portion of piezoelectric material so as to produce electrical power; wherein the alternator has a moving mass that serves as a substantial portion of the resonating mass inside the housing.

Kolm discloses a piezoelectric alternator (figures 4-6) to be supported in a housing and having a face that is movable when acted on by acoustical power and produces electrical power when acted on by stress (by its nature, the piezoelectric material generates electrical energy when deformed and deforms when acted on by acoustical power).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention was made to replace the Electro-mechanical generator disclosed in De Blok by a piezoelectric alternator as disclosed

Page 4

by Kolm for the purpose of converting waste acoustical energy into electrical energy using an efficient and compact device.

It should be noted that the limitation of: "thereby providing a pressure oscillation frequency in the housing substantially lower than that for a similar system with a rigid member replacing the alternator" is a functional limitation -comparing the invention with the prior artand has been given little patentable weight.

With regards to claim 2, as shown in figures 1-4 (De Blok's) the Electro-mechanical converter blocks the passage of the gas. In the same way, when replacing this E/M converter with the piezoelectric alternator, one of the faces substantially blocks the passage of the gas.

With regards to claims 3-5, the piezoelectric alternator of Kolm have a movable faces (layers 32 and 34). De Blok discloses, the use of a membrane bellows as shown in figures 1-4. E/M generator in De Blok shows a bellows construction working as a diaphragm that oscillates when the gas oscillates. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention was made to have a generator/alternator in a compact and efficient structure.

With regards to claim 19, the Examiner takes Official Notice that it is well known in the art of piezoelectric to use a spring in combination with the piezoelectric to change the stiffness and damping characteristics of the system. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention was made to use a spring in connection with the piezoelectric to change the stiffness and damping characteristics of the system.

With regards to claim 40, De Blok and Kolm discloses different uses for their inventions, including refrigeration, heating, engine for driving pumps, heat pumps, etc.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,907 Page 5

Art Unit: 2834

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 6-18 and 20-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 7. The references of the Prior Art of Record fail to teach or suggest either alone or in obvious combination the limitations as set forth in claim 6, and specifically comprising the limitation of the perimeter member, a hub and spokes interconnecting the hub and the perimeter member. For the same reasons claim 14 is found to be allowable.
- 8. With regards to claim 20, the prior art fails to disclose the perimeter wall having a plurality of wall segments interconnected by springs.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jaydi A. Aguirrechea whose telephone number is 571-272-2018.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 9-7.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren E. Schuberg can be reached on 571-272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,907

Art Unit: 2834

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JAA 10/29/05

> DARAGIN SCHUBERG SUPERVISORY PAYENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800